

**From:** [Howard Smith](#)  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** SUMMARY OF ORAL SUBMISSION MADE BY MR.R.PARSONS AT ISSUE SPECIFIC HEARING 6  
**Date:** 21 June 2019 23:08:09

---

Dear Sirs,

The reasons for choosing the location for Green Bridge 1 was questioned as it was felt insufficient attention had been made to SLAN3 and whether this was inside the scheme or out as if outside the scheme the proposal as currently put forward would appear to sever the North South link across the A303 . What is proposed is an extremely long detour running parallel to what will become a very busy ,noisy trunk road at express speeds which then requires public access over green bridge one. This bridge needs to be designed to allow the Agricultural vehicles to cross together with a public right of way which needs to be segregated from the private means of access so concerns were raised about the width the bridge needed to be as very little of that width would be for green bridge purposes so to call it a green bridge is a misnomer and inaccurate by Highways England.

By all means have a green bridge but do not add other users to it and by designating it as a green bridge is an underhand way of achieving access for the Guinness family to land that can still be accessed by SLAN3 or if not by using the Cherry Lodge Access.

Yours faithfully

Howard Smith

***Howard Smith M.R.I.C.S***

Chartered Valuation Surveyor

South Bank,

High Street, Fovant,

Wilts, SP3 5JL

Tel: 01722 714641

Fax: 01722 714513

Mobile: 07850813060

Email: [howardsmith2012@gmail.com](mailto:howardsmith2012@gmail.com)